Partition make with spectacles so
precious Twixt fair and foul ?
I MO. What makes your
admiration ?
*IACH** It cannot be F the eye ; for apes
and monkeys,
'Twixt two such sties, would chatter this
way, and Contemn with mows the
other: Nor i' the judgment ;
For idiots, in this case of favour,
would Be wisely definite : Nor i'
the appetite ; Sluttery, to such
neat excellence oppos'd, Should
make desire vomit emptiness, Not
so allur'd to feed[7].

Again, in our author's Coriolanus, Act IV. Sc. IV.: *ce* Are still together, who *twin* as 'twere in love." Mr. Heath conjectures the poet might have written—spurrfd stones. He might possibly have written that or any other word. —In Coriolanus, a different epithet is bestowed on the beach: ** Then let the pebbles on the *hungry* beach
" Fillop the stars ."
DF. Warburton's conjecture may be countenanced by the following passage in Spenser's Fairy Queen, b. vi. c. vii.:
ct But as he lay upon the *humbled* grass.
STEEVENS. I think we may read the *umbered,* the *shaded* beach, This word is met with in other places. FARMER.
Farmer's amendment is ill-imagined. There is no place so little likely to be *shaded* as the beach of the sea; and therefore *umber'd* cannot be right. M. MASON.
Mr. Theobald's conjecture may derive some support from a passage in King Lear:
"------the murmuring surge
" That on *tti unnumbered* idle pebbles chases —." *Tti unnumbered,* and *the number" d^* if hastily pronounced, might easily have been confounded by the ear. If *numbered* be right, it surely means, as Dr. Johnson has explained it, *abounding in num-bers* of stones ; *numerous.* MALONE. *1* Should make desire vomit emptiness,
Not so allur'd to feed.] i. e. that appetite, which is not allured to feed on such excellence, can have no stomach at all; but, though empty, must nauseate every thing. WARBURTON.
I explain this passage in a sense almost contrary. lachimo^ in this counterfeited rapture, has shown how the *eyas* and the *judgment* would determine in favour of Imogen, comparing her with